

## PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

Rec'd PGH/PTG 18 JAN 2005

From the  
**INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINING AUTHORITY**

To:

Bullit OY  
Krokuddsgränden 1  
FIN-02230 ESBO  
Finland

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE  
INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY  
EXAMINING AUTHORITY

(PCT Rule 66)

26-05-2004

		Date of mailing (day/month/year)	26-05-2004
Applicant's or agent's file reference		REPLY DUE	within 60 days from the above date of mailing
International application No.	International filing date (day/month/year)		Priority date (day/month/year)
PCT/FI 2003/000549	07.07.2003		18.07.2002
International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification and IPC			
A61F 7/07			
Applicant			
SERLACHIUS, Jarl, Fredrik			

1.  The written opinion established by the International Searching Authority:  
 is  is not  
considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority.

2. This \_\_\_\_\_ (first, etc.) opinion contains indications relating to the following items:

Box No. I Basis of the opinion  
 Box No. II Priority  
 Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability  
 Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention  
 Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 66.2(a)(ii) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement  
 Box No. VI Certain documents cited  
 Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application  
 Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application

3. The applicant is hereby invited to reply to this opinion.

When? See the time limit indicated above. The applicant may, before the expiration of that time limit, request this Authority to grant an extension, see Rule 66.2(e).

How? By submitting a written reply, accompanied, where appropriate, by amendments, according to Rule 66.3.  
For the form and the language of the amendments, see Rules 66.8 and 66.9.

Also For the examiner's obligation to consider amendments and/or arguments, see Rule 66.4bis.  
For an informal communication with the examiner, see Rule 66.6.  
For an additional opportunity to submit amendments, see Rule 66.4.

If no reply is filed, the international preliminary examination report will be established on the basis of this opinion.

4. The final date by which the international preliminary report on patentability (Chapter II of the PCT) must be established according to Rule 69.2 is: 18.11.2004

Name and mailing address of the IPEA/SE Patent- och registreringsverket Box 5055 S-102 42 STOCKHOLM Facsimile No. 46 8 667 72 88	Authorized officer Magnus Thorén/EK Telephone No. 46 8 782 25 00
--	--

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE  
INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINING AUTHORITYInternational application No.  
PCT/FI 2003/000549

## Box No. I Basis of the opinion

1. With regard to the language, this opinion has been established on the basis of the international application in the language in which it was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.

This opinion is based on a translation from the original language into the following language \_\_\_\_\_, which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of:

international search (under Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b))  
 publication of the international application (under Rule 12.4)  
 international preliminary examination (under Rules 55.2 and/or 55.3)

2. With regard to the elements of the international application, this opinion has been established on the basis of (replacement sheets which have been furnished to the receiving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to in this opinion as "originally filed."): *(Note: The following sections list various application components with checkboxes and lines for notes.)*

the international application as originally filed/furnished

the description:

pages \_\_\_\_\_ as originally filed/furnished

pages \_\_\_\_\_ received by this Authority on \_\_\_\_\_

pages \_\_\_\_\_ received by this Authority on \_\_\_\_\_

the claims:

pages \_\_\_\_\_ as originally filed/furnished

pages \_\_\_\_\_ as amended (together with any statement) under Article 19

pages \_\_\_\_\_ received by this Authority on \_\_\_\_\_

pages \_\_\_\_\_ received by this Authority on \_\_\_\_\_

the drawings:

pages \_\_\_\_\_ as originally filed/furnished

pages \_\_\_\_\_ received by this Authority on \_\_\_\_\_

pages \_\_\_\_\_ received by this Authority on \_\_\_\_\_

a sequence listing and/or any related table(s) – see Supplemental Box Relating to Sequence Listing.

3.  The amendments have resulted in the cancellation of:

the description, pages \_\_\_\_\_

the claims, Nos. \_\_\_\_\_

the drawings, sheets/figs \_\_\_\_\_

the sequence listing (specify): \_\_\_\_\_

any table(s) related to the sequence listing (specify): \_\_\_\_\_

4.  This opinion has been established as if (some of) the amendments had not been made, since they have been considered to go beyond the disclosure as filed, as indicated in the Supplemental Box (Rule 70.2(c)).

the description, pages \_\_\_\_\_

the claims, Nos. \_\_\_\_\_

the drawings, sheets/figs \_\_\_\_\_

the sequence listing (specify): \_\_\_\_\_

any table(s) related to the sequence listing (specify): \_\_\_\_\_

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE  
INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINING AUTHORITYInternational application No.  
PCT/FI 2003/000549

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 66.2(a)(ii) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

## 1. Statement

Novelty (N)	Claims _____
	Claims _____
Inventive step (IS)	Claims _____
	Claims _____
Industrial applicability (IA)	Claims _____
	Claims _____

## 2. Citations and explanations:

The present invention relates to an ice-hockey or soccer player peg, intended to be moved directly by hand along a track. The invention is characterised in that a button, that keeps the player in the track, is fastened to an eccentric shaft. The eccentric shaft enables a smooth move of the player peg.

The cited DE 1092354 describes a player peg, which is intended to be moved along a track and kept in the track by a button on an eccentric shaft.

This peg is, however, not an ice-hockey or soccer player peg, and the shaft is not intended to make the movement smoother, since the movement is sideways only.

Thus, the invention is novel.

The invention is considered to involve an inventive step.  
The invention is industrially applicable.